

# A 6-month follow-up of the Integrated Treatment for Opiate Addiction and HIV in Vietnam

### 5 EXPERTISE FRANCE

## Abstract

Background: There is a lack of access to comprehensive treatment for both opiate use in Vietnam. Less than 10% of opiate users are currently in treatment and among HIV-po less than 70% received antiretroviral therapy (ARV). Since November 2013, we have im integrated drug treatment program within an HIV treatment setting in Ho Chi Minh City, Methods: All the patients received opiate maintenance treatment (methadone or buprer naloxone), HIV and HCV testing and counseling sessions focused on substance use and taking behaviors. Here we assess the impact of this program on treatment initiation, treatment and change in substance use at 6-month follow-up.

Results: Since December-01-2013, 161 heroin injectors who met DSM-5 opiate use dis were enrolled. None of the patients who sought treatment at Go Vap clinic refused to pa were mainly males (95.0%), 32.6 y.o. (SD= 5.4), living with family (78.9%), reporting em They reported using heroin for an average of 7.8 years (SD= 3.6, min-max= 1-20). The r month was 97.5%, significantly higher than before the initiation of the integrated treatme (86.3%). Treatment adherence was very high. The methadone maintenance dose range 220 mg per day. The counseling session attendance ranged from 92.5% to 97.5%. There decrease in number of participants who reported using heroin over 6-month (chi<sup>2</sup>= 300. significant decrease of the days of heroin use among users (F(5,79)= 121.6, p<.0001). were HIV-positive, with five not previously known to be positive. All HIV-positive participation treatment. One hundred-three (64.8%) were HCV-positive, 49 (47.6%) were newly diagr participants (2.4%) had received HCV treatment.

Conclusion: At 6-month, the findings showed the added value of an integrated treatmer drug use, HIV detection, and access to HIV care. Long-term follow-up is needed to confi this program.

### Background

- About 170,000 drug users in Vietnam (PEPFAR 2012) 80% heroin injectors
- HIV prevalence is high among drug users About 52% (HCMC PAC 2012)
- 2008-2009: start of Methadone Treatment in Vietnam Hai Phong and HCMC
- There is a lack of access to comprehensive treatment for bo disorder and HIV in Vietnam Less than 10% of opiate users are currently in treatment Among HIV-positive patients, less than 70% received ARV
- Since November 2013, we have studied the implementation integrated drug treatment program within an HIV treatment Chi Minh City, Vietnam

## Integrated Treatment Model

- Enroll and follow patients for 12 months All participants seeking treatment for Opioid Use Disorder (DSM-Vap Clinic (HCMC, Vietnam) and currently opiates users were eli
- Integrated Treatment provided A pharmacological treatment with methadone or buprenorphine/na
  - Introduction of buprenorphine/naloxone (Suboxone®) in January – First time in Vietnam
  - Counseling: 12 weekly sessions and 10 monthly sessions thereaf
  - HIV screening and HIV treatment if needed
  - HCV screening

#### References

• McLellan AT, Cacciola JC, Alterman AI, et al. The Addiction Severity Index at 25: origins, contributions and transitions. The American jo Academy of Psychiatrists in Alcoholism and Addictions 2006:15: 113-124. Metzger D, Woody G, Druley P, et al. Psychiatric symptoms, high risk behaviors and HIV positivity among methadone patients. NIDA Res Mor • Tran BX, Ohinmaa A, Duong AT, et al. Changes in drug use are associated with health-related quality of life improvements among methad HIV/AIDS. Quality of life research : an international journal of quality of life aspects of treatment, care and rehabilitation 2012:21: 613-623.

#### Funding: NIDA R01- DA033671-01 **Conflict of Interest:** None

## C. Denis<sup>1</sup>, D. Metzger<sup>1</sup>, L. Huang<sup>2</sup>, V. Trias<sup>2</sup>, M. Auriacombe<sup>3</sup>, G. Raguin<sup>2</sup>, S. Mai Thi Hoai<sup>4</sup>, G. Le Truong<sup>4</sup>, J-P. Daulouède<sup>2,3,5</sup>, C. O'Brien<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup> Center for Studies of Addiction, University of Pennsylvania, Philadelphia, PA, USA; <sup>2</sup> Expertise France, Paris, France; <sup>3</sup> CNRS USR 3413 SANPsy, University of Bordeaux, Bordeaux, France; <sup>4</sup> HCMC AIDS Committee, Ho Chi Minh City, Vietnam; <sup>5</sup> Bizia Addiction Clinic, Bayonne, France

	Objective			
disorder and HIV sitive patients, plemented an /ietnam. orphine/ d HIV/ HCV risk- atment adherence,	<ul> <li>To evaluate the impact of</li> <li>Treatment initial</li> <li>Treatment ad</li> <li>Change in su</li> <li>Change in ris</li> <li>Change in qui</li> </ul>	tiation tiation herence bstance use k-taking be ality of life a	ram on e at 6-mont havior at 6- at 6-month	:h f ∙mc foll
order diagnosis rticipate. They	Methods			
ployment (51.3%). retention rate at 6- ent program ed from 30 mg to re was a significant 9, p< .0001) and a Sixty-one (38.6%) ants received HIV hosed. Only 2 Int program on firm the impact of	<ul> <li>Selection of participants who h</li> <li>Assessment tools         <ul> <li>Study specific questionnaire: ba</li> <li>DSM-5 Substance Use Disorder</li> <li>Risk Assessment Battery (RAB</li> <li>WHO-QoL HIV- Bref (WHO 2000)</li> <li>Weekly Urine Drug Screen</li> <li>HIV-testing</li> <li>HCV-testing</li> <li>Treatment adherence</li> <li>Treatment dose</li> <li>Counseling session monitoring</li> </ul> </li> </ul>	aseline and 6- er criteria 6) (Metzger 19 02, Tran 2012)	d treatment -month follow 90): baseline ): : baseline a	: fo -up and
	Results			
oth opiate use	<ul> <li>December 2013 – May 20 <ul> <li>Methadone: n= 213</li> <li>Buprenorphine/naloxone</li> </ul> </li> <li>Sample at least 6 months <ul> <li>Males</li> <li>Age (mean)</li> <li>Education</li> <li>Never married</li> <li>Living with parents/family</li> <li>Have a job</li> </ul> </li> <li>Baseline Opiates use (Helling)</li> <li>DSM-5 criteria</li> <li>IV route</li> <li>Lifetime</li> <li>No. of previous Tx</li> </ul> <li>Baseline - Other current s <ul> <li>Tobacco</li> <li>Alcohol</li> <li>Amphet/Methamphet.</li> <li>Benzodiazepines</li> </ul> </li>	015: 253 p (Suboxone in Tx: n= 95% 32.6 y.o. ( High scho 48% 79% 51% (uns eroin use) More than 100% 7.8 years (S Mean= 6.1 substance 100% 7% 7%	Darticipant (SD= 40 (SD= 5.4, rational) (SD= 5.4, rational) (SD= 4.4, rational) (SD= 4.4) (SD= 4.6, rational) (SD= 4.6, rational) (SD= 4.6, rational) (SD= 4.6, rational) (SD= 4.6, rational)	ts o me ang : 94
-5 criteria) at Go ligible	<ul> <li>Cannabis</li> <li>HIV-status</li> <li>HIV-positive</li> <li>In treatment</li> </ul>	4% n=61 (38.6% 100% 8	%) 5 nev 34% receivi	wly na
aloxone 2015	<ul> <li>Hepatitis C</li> <li>HCV-positive</li> <li>In treatment</li> </ul>	n=103 (64.8 1.9%	3%) 49 n	iew
ILEI	<ul> <li>Retention in study = 97.5</li> <li>4 participants stopped treated treated in the 2 within the 2 in the third</li> <li>Treatment adherence = \u03c0</li> </ul>	% atment with first month: 1 d month: 1 ha <b>/erv hiαh</b>	in the 6-mo arrested, 1 n d an accident	nth nov t an
urnal on addictions / American		Month 1	Month 2	1
nogr. 1990;105:490–1. one maintenance patients with	Counseling session attendance (%) OMT Tx attendance Nb. subjects missing 1+ dose % missing dose	93.3 6 0.1	95.5 6 0.2	
	HIV attendance (%)	100	100	

	Results - cor
	<ul> <li>Change in heroin use</li> <li>Significant decrease of parti</li> <li>Significant decrease of num</li> </ul>
onth follow-up low-up low-up	
	0 Baseline Month 1 Month 2
d 6-month follow-up 6-month follow-up	Change in quality of life Description
	<ul> <li>Change in risk-taking k</li> </ul>
enrolled	100 80 60
ethadone) ge: 21-50)	40 20 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0
4%)	<ul> <li>No difference in change</li> <li>Drug ris</li> <li>Drug ris</li> <li>Sex risk</li> <li>Sex risk</li> </ul>
ge: 1-20) 100% Rehab center (06 Center)	Conclusion
v diagnosed (8.2%) Incidence = null ARV vly diagnosed (47.6%) Incidence = null n period re to another district nd relapse, 1 relapse	<ul> <li>High retention rate <ul> <li>High acceptability of th</li> </ul> </li> <li>High treatment attendated</li> <li>Positive impact on <ul> <li>Reducing heroin use at</li> <li>Improving quality of life</li> <li>Decreasing drug-relate</li> </ul> </li> <li>Similar results with bu <ul> <li>To date: n=40, none w</li> <li>So far, same acceptable</li> <li>Alternate day dosing</li> </ul> </li> <li>Access to both methate</li> <ul> <li>Demonstration of fease</li> <li>Maximize the potentiate</li> <li>assisted treatment in</li> </ul> <li>High prevalence of Here</li> <li>Need to develop acces</li> </ul>
95.797.59592.5101719320.30.51.31.4	Contact Cécile Denis, PhD
100 100 100 100	David Metzger, PhD Charles O'Brien, MD, Ph



